UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			/ m	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,457	08/21/2003	Aurelian Bruneau	400-23244/PC771.00	7972
52196 7590 03/28/2007 KRIEG DEVAULT LLP ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 2800		EXAMINER PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
	INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	03/28/2007	PADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	TA	A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/645,457	BRUNEAU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Pedro Philogene	3733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 68-79 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20,68-79 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) and is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) is/are: a) is/are: a) is/are: a) is/are: a) is/are: a)	wn from consideration. or election requirement.	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/645,457 Page 2

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5,10-14, 19,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Landry et al. (2004/0147928).

With respect to claims 1, 10, Landry et al disclose a device for guiding an implant to a location adjacent a bone anchor comprising: a guide member (100) including a connecting portion (104) and a guiding portion (102), wherein with the connecting portion adjacent the bone anchor said guiding portion extends proximally from the connecting portion and is adapted to receive the implant wherein the guiding portion is flexible and positionable between an untaut configuration and a taut configuration as the implant is guided therealong, the connecting portion includes a body comprising a tapered portion forming a substantially uniform transition between the anchor and the guiding portion; as set forth in page 3, para [0051-0057], page 4, para [0063], para [0070], page 7, para [0100-0101], page 9, para [0112-0115] and as set forth in the claims; and as best seen in FIGS.1-27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-9,15-18,68-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landry et al. (2004/0147928) in view of Branch et al. (6,610,065).

With respect to the above claims, it is noted that Landry et al discloses all the limitations, except for a connecting portion including a pair of extensions to releasably engage with the bone anchor; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Branch et al, FIG.11, evidence the use of an insertion instrument with a pair of extension to releasably fasten and secure an implant.

Therefore, given the teaching of Branch et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Landry et al, as taught by Branch et al to releasably fasten and secure an anchor.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 1-20 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Landry/Branch et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow. (see above).

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 3/9/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 68-79 under 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore,

Art Unit: 3733

the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Landry/Branch et al.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,015,247

5-1991

Michelson

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,457

Art Unit: 3733

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene March 25, 2007

PEDRO PHILOGENE